arXiv:1411.6046v4 [math.NT] 6 Jun 2016

On the local Bump-Friedberg L-function II

Nadir MATRINGE*

June 7, 2016

Abstract

Let F be a p-adic field with residue field of cardinality q. To each irreducible representation of $GL(n,F)$, we attach a local Euler factor $L^{BF}(q^{-s},q^{-t},\pi)$ via the Rankin-Selberg method, and show that it is equal to the expected factor $L(s+t+1/2,\phi_\pi)L(2s,\Lambda^2\circ\phi_\pi)$ of the Langlands' parameter ϕ_π of π. The corresponding local integrals were introduced in [BF90], and studied in [M15]. This work is in fact the continuation of [M15]. The result is a consequence of the fact that if δ is a discrete series representation of $GL(2m,F)$, and χ is a character of Levi subgroup $L=GL(m,F)\times GL(m,F)$ which is trivial on $GL(m,F)$ embedded diagonally, then δ is (L,χ)-distinguished if an only if it admits a Shalika model. This result was only established for $\chi=\mathbf{1}$ before.

1 Introduction

Let F be a p-adic field with residue field of cardinality q. In [M15], we attached to any irreducible representation π of $GL(n,F)$ and any character α of F^*, an Euler factor $L^{lin}(s,\alpha,\pi)$ (denoted $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ in [ibid.]). It is defined as the gcd of a family of local integrals $\Psi(s,\alpha,W,\Phi)$ for W in the Whittaker model of (the induced representation of Langlands' type above) π and Φ a Schwartz map on $F^{\lfloor (n+1)/2\rfloor}$. These integrals were introduced in [BF90] where the corresponding global integrals were studied. More precisely, in [BF90], the corresponding global integrals were considered as maps of two complex variables, whereas in [M15], the character α is fixed, and the integrals are viewed as maps of the complex variable s. In particular, if α is an unramified character $|.|^t$, writing $L^{lin}(s,t,\pi)$ for $L^{lin}(s,\alpha,\pi)$ and $\Psi(s,t,W,\Phi)$ for $\Psi(s,\alpha,W,\Phi)$, it is not obvious that the map $L^{lin}(s,t,\pi)$ is rational in q^{-s} and q^{-t}. Here, we consider the integrals $\Psi(s,t,W,\Phi)$ as maps of the variables s and t. We show that they belong to $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s},q^{-t})$, and admit a gcd in a certain sense, that we denote $L^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$, which is the inverse of an element of $\mathbb{C}[q^{-s},q^{-t}]$ with constant term equal to 1. We show that $L^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$ admits a functional equation, and that it is equal to the Galois factor $L(s+t+1/2,\phi_\pi)L(2s,\Lambda^2\circ\phi_\pi)$ (Theorem 3.3), where ϕ_π is the Langlands' parameter of π. This result follows from the results of [M15] about L^{lin}, and from the following new ingredient which we now explain. Let δ be a discrete series representation of $GL(2m,F)$, and χ is any character of the bloc diagonal Levi $L=GL(m,F)\times GL(m,F)$, trivial on $GL(m,F)$ embedded diagonally, then δ is (L,χ)-distinguished if an only if it admits a Shalika model (Theorem 2.1). This result was only proved when χ is trivial before.

*Nadir Matringe, Université de Poitiers, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications, Téléport 2 - BP 30179, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie, 86962, Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex. Email: nadirmatringe@outlook.fr

Acknowledgements. *I thank the referees for their useful comments. This work was partially supported by the research project ANR-13-BS01 -0012 FERPLAY.*

1.1 Notations

We denote by F a p-adic field, by $\mathfrak{O}$ its ring of integers, by ϖ its uniformiser, and by q the cardinality of its residue field. We denote by $|.|$ the absolute value of F normalised by $|\varpi| = q^{-1}$. We denote by G_n the group $GL(n, F)$ of invertible elements of the algebra $\mathcal{M}(n, F)$ (which we denote by $\mathcal{M}_n$). As usual, we will consider G_{n-1} as a subgroup of G_n via the embedding $g \mapsto diag(g, 1)$. We denote by $\nu(g)$ or simply by $|g|$ the positive real number $|det(g)|$ when $g \in G_n$. The group A_n will be the diagonal torus of G_n, contained in the Borel subgroup B_n of upper triangular matrices of G_n. We denote by N_n the unipotent radical of B_n (the matrices of B_n with 1 on the diagonal). We denote by P_n the mirabolic subgroup of G_n, i.e. the group of matrices with last row equal to $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. We set $K_n = GL(n, \mathfrak{O})$. We denote by w_n the element of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ naturally embedded in G_n, defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & m-1 & m & m+1 & m+2 & \dots & 2m-1 & 2m \\ 1 & 3 & \dots & 2m-3 & 2m-1 & 2 & 4 & \dots & 2m-2 & 2m \end{pmatrix}$$

when $n = 2m$ is even, and by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & m-1 & m & m+1 & m+2 & \dots & 2m & 2m+1 \\ 1 & 3 & \dots & 2m-3 & 2m-1 & 2m+1 & 2 & \dots & 2m-2 & 2m \end{pmatrix}$$

when $n = 2m + 1$ is odd. We denote by L_n the standard Levi subgroup of G_n which is $G_{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} \times G_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ embedded by the map $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto diag(g_1, g_2)$. If α is a smooth character of F^*, we denote by ψ_α the character of L_n defined as

$$\psi_\alpha : diag(g_1, g_2) \mapsto \alpha(det(g_1))/\alpha(det(g_2)).$$

We denote by H_n the group $w_n^{-1} L_n w_n$, by $h(g_1, g_2)$ the matrix $w_n^{-1} diag(g_1, g_2) w_n$ of H_n (with $diag(g_1, g_2) \in L_n$), and by χ_α the character of H_n defined as

$$\chi_\alpha : h(g_1, g_2) \mapsto \alpha(det(g_1))/\alpha(det(g_2)).$$

Note that the groups H_n are compatible in the sense that $H_n \cap G_{n-1}$ is naturally isomorphic to H_{n-1}, which will allow us to consider H_{n-1} as a subgroup of H_n. We denote by d_n the matrix $diag(1, -1, 1, -1, \dots)$ of G_n, the group H_n is the subgroup of G_n fixed by the involution $g \mapsto d_n g d_n$. We denote by δ_n the character

$$\delta_n = \chi_{|.|} : h(g_1, g_2) \mapsto |g_1|/|g_2|$$

of H_n, and we denote by χ_n (resp. μ_n) the character of H_n equal to δ_n when n is odd (resp. even), and trivial when n is even (resp. odd). Hence $\chi_{n|H_{n-1}} = \mu_{n-1}$, and $\mu_{n|H_{n-1}} = \chi_{n-1}$. If C is a subset of G_n, we sometimes denote by C^σ the set $C \cap H_n$. When $n = 2m$ is even, we denote by $U_{(m,m)}$ the subgroup of G_n of matrices

$$u(x) = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}$$

for $x \in \mathcal{M}_m$, and we denote by S_n the Shalika subgroup of G_n of matrices of the form $u(x)diag(g,g)$, for $g \in G_m$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}_m$.

We fix until the end of this work, a nontrivial smooth character θ of $(F,+)$. By abuse of notation, we also denote by θ the character of N_n defined as $n \mapsto \theta(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} n_{i,i+1})$. For n even, we will denote by Θ the character of S_n given by the formula

$$\Theta(u(x)diag(g,g)) = \theta(Tr(x)).$$

All the representations of closed subgroups of G_n that we will consider will be smooth and complex. We will use the product notation of [BZ77] for normalised parabolic induction.

1.2 Representations of Whittaker type

Here, for convenience of the reader, we summarise Section 2.2 of [M15], which is a compilation of well-known results about representations of Whittaker type. Those results are extracted from [BZ77], [Z77], [R73], [JS83], we refer to [M15] for the details.

Definition 1.1. *Let $a < b$ be integers, and r a positive integer, and set $n = r(b-a+1)$. If ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r, then the representation $\nu^a\rho \times \cdots \times \nu^{b-1}\rho$ has a unique irreducible quotient which we denote by $\delta([a,b],\rho)$. We call such a representation of G_n a discrete series representation. For $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we write $\delta(d,\rho)$ for $\delta([1-d,0],\nu^{(1-d)/2}\rho)$.*

If π is a representation of G_n admitting a central character, we denote it by ω_π. For χ a character of F^*, we denote by $Re(\chi)$ the real number r such that $|\chi|_{\mathbb{R}} = |.|^r$.

Definition 1.2. *Let π be a representation of G_n, such that π is a product of discrete series $\delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_t$ of smaller linear groups, we say that π is of Whittaker type.*
If the discrete series δ_i are ordered such that $Re(\omega_{\delta_i}) \geq Re(\omega_{\delta_{i+1}})$, we say that π is (induced) of Langlands' type. It follows from [S78] that π has a unique irreducible quotient $L(\pi)$ (its Langlands' quotient) which determines π, and that any irreducible representation of G_n is the Langlands' quotient of a representation of Langlands' type.

We now define the Whittaker model of a representation of Whittaker type. We denote by Ind the smooth induction functor, and by ind the compact smooth induction functor.

Proposition 1.1. *Let π be a representation of Whittaker type, then $Hom_{N_n}(\pi,\theta)$ is of dimension 1, hence the space of intertwining operators $Hom_{G_n}(\pi, Ind_{N_n}^{G_n}(\theta))$ is of dimension 1. The image of the (unique up to scaling) intertwining operator from π to $Ind_{N_n}^{G_n}(\theta))$ is called the Whittaker model of π, we denote it by $W(\pi,\theta)$. When π is of Langlands' type, the G_n-module $W(\pi,\theta)$ is isomorphic to π, and we set $W(L(\pi),\theta) = W(\pi,\theta)$, so this defines the Whittaker model of any irreducible representation.*

Remark 1.1. Notice that with this standard definition, even those irreducible representations of G_n which do not admit a Whittaker functional on their space, still have a Whittaker model. For example the Whittaker model of the trivial representation $\mathbf{1}_{G_n}$ is in fact that of $\nu^{(n-1)/2} \times \cdots \times \nu^{(1-n)/2}$, the latter indeed admitting a Whittaker functional on its space.

If π is an irreducible representation of G_n, we denote by $\pi^\vee$ its (smooth) contragredient. If $\pi = \delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_t$ is a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, and w is the anti-diagonal matrix of G_n with only ones on the second diagonal, then $\widetilde{\pi} : g \mapsto \pi({}^tg^{-1})$

is of Whittaker type, isomorphic to $\delta_t^\vee \times \cdots \times \delta_1^\vee$. In particular, if π is of Langlands' type, then $\widetilde{\pi}$ as well, and $L(\widetilde{\pi}) = L(\pi)^\vee$. Moreover for W in $W(\pi, \theta)$, then $\widetilde{W} : g \mapsto W(w {}^t g^{-1})$ belongs to $W(\widetilde{\pi}, \theta^{-1})$.

The asymptotics of Whittaker functions in a representation of Whittaker type are controlled by the exponents of the derivatives of this representation. We refer to 3.5 of [BZ77] for the definition of the derivatives $\pi^{(k)}$ of a representation π of G_n. If π is of finite length, then these derivatives have finite length (see for example Proposition 2.5 of [M11]).

Definition 1.3. *Let π be a representation of G_n of finite length. We call the $(n-k)$-exponents of π the central characters of the irreducible subquotients of a Jordan-Holder series of $\pi^{(n-k)}$.*

The following result is extracted from the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [M11] (see the "stronger statement" in [loc. cit.]).

Proposition 1.2. *Let π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type. For $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $(c_{k,i_k})_{i_k=1,\dots,r_k}$ be the family of $(n-k)$-exponents of π, then for every W in $W(\pi, \theta)$, the map $W(z_1 \dots z_n)$ is a linear combination of functions of the form*

$$c_\pi(t(z_n)) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{k,i_k}(t(z_k)) |z_k|^{(n-k)/2} v(t(z_k))^{m_k} \phi_k(t(z_k)),$$

where $z_k = diag(t(z_k) I_k, I_{n-k})$, for i_k between 1 and r_k, non negative integers m_k, and functions ϕ_k in $C_c^\infty(F)$.

1.3 Local Langlands correspondence and local factors

We refer to Section 7 of [BH06] for the vocabulary and assertions concerning the Weil-Deligne representations of the Weil group of F and their local constants. Let W_F be the Weil group of F. If ϕ is a semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation of W_F, we denote by $L(s, \phi)$ its Artin L-function, which satisfies $L(s, \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2) = L(s, \phi_1) L(s, \phi_2)$ for any semi-simple Weil-Deligne representations ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 of W_F. On the other hand, if π and π' are irreducible representations of G_n and $G_{n'}$ respectively, we denote by $L(s, \pi, \pi')$ the local factor attached to the pair (π, π') in [JPS83]. We will denote by $L(s, \pi)$ the factor $L(s, \pi, \mathbf{1})$ where $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial representation of the trivial group G_0. It is a theorem from [HT01] and [H00] that there is a bijection $\phi : \pi \mapsto \phi_\pi$ from the set of irreducible representations of G_n (up to isomorphism) to the set of semi-simple Weil-Deligne representations of W_F of dimension n (up to isomorphism), which satisfies amongst other properties, that if π and π' are irreducible representations of G_n and $G_{n'}$ respectively, the one has

$$L(s, \pi, \pi') = L(s, \phi_\pi \otimes \phi_{\pi'}).$$

The map ϕ is called the Langlands correspondence, and if π is an irreducible representation of G_n, we will say that ϕ_π is the Langlands' parameter of π. If ϕ is a semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation of W_F, we will denote by $\Lambda^2 \circ \phi$ its exterior-square, which is again a semi-simple Weil-Deligne representation of W_F. If $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_t$ are semi-simple Weil-Deligne representations of W_F. Because

$$\Lambda^2 \circ (\oplus_{i=1}^t \phi_i) = \oplus_{k=1}^t \Lambda^2 \circ \phi_k \oplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} \phi_i \otimes \phi_j,$$

we deduce the formula

$$L(s,\Lambda^2\circ(\oplus_{i=1}^t\phi_i))=\prod_{k=1}^t L(s,\Lambda^2\circ\phi_k)\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}L(s,\phi_i\otimes\phi_j).$$

Notice that it is well known that if $\pi=L(\delta_1,\dots,\delta_t)$ is an irreducible representation of G_n, then

$$\phi_\pi=\oplus_{i=1}^t\phi_{\delta_i}.$$

2 Distinguished discrete series

Let H be a closed subgroup of G_n, and χ a character of H, we recall that a representation π of G_n is said to be (H,χ)-distinguished if the space $Hom_H(\pi,\chi)$ is nonzero. In this section, which is the core of the paper, we show that if δ is a discrete series representation of G_n (with n even), and ψ is a character of L_n trivial on the diagonal embedding of $G_{n/2}$ in L_n, then δ is (L_n,ψ)-distinguished if and only if it admits a Shalika model (i.e. if $Hom_{S_n}(\delta,\Theta)\neq 0$). One direction, namely $Hom_{S_n}(\delta,\Theta)\neq 0\Rightarrow Hom_{L_n}(\delta,\psi_\alpha)\neq 0$, follows from the remark in Section 6.1 of [JR96]. The other direction was also known for ψ-trivial (the proof adapting easily to unitary ψ, see Remark 2.1), the whole point is to extend the result to non unitary characters ψ.

Proposition 2.1. *Let ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r, with r a positive even integer, then ρ is (H_r,χ_α)-distinguished if and only if it is (S_r,Θ)-distinguished.*

Proof. We work with L_r rather than H_r. Of course if ρ is (H_r,χ_α)-distinguished, then it is (L_r,ψ_α)-distinguished, so we take a nonzero element L in $Hom_{L_r}(\rho,\psi_\alpha)$. From [D10], Theorem 4.4, (ii) (see [KT08] for $\alpha=\mathbf{1}$), we know that for any v in the space of ρ, the relative coefficient

$$\psi_{L,v}:g\in G_r\mapsto L(\rho(g)v)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(L_r\backslash G_r,\psi_\alpha)$, and $v\mapsto\psi_{L,v}$ is a G_r-module injection of ρ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(L_r\backslash G_r,\psi_\alpha)$. We set $m=r/2$. Using the Iwasawa decomposition $L_rU_{(m,m)}K_r$, we see that the map

$$f_{L,v}:x\mapsto\psi_{L,v}(u(x))$$

belongs to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{M}_m)$. We denote by S_L the linear form on δ, defined by

$$S_L(v)=\int_{x\in\mathcal{M}_m}f_{L,v}(x)\theta^{-1}(Tr(x))dx \tag{1}$$

for $v\in\rho$. We claim that S_L is a nonzero Shalika functional on ρ. Indeed, $S_L(v)=0$ for all v in ρ means that $\int_{x\in\mathcal{M}_m}f_{L,v}(x)\theta^{-1}(Tr(x))dx$ for all v in ρ. Replacing v by $diag(g,I_r)$ for $g\in G_r$, we deduce that

$$\alpha(det(g))\int_{x\in\mathcal{M}_m}f_{L,v}(g^{-1}x)\theta^{-1}(Tr(x))dx$$

$$=|det(g)|^m\alpha(det(g))\int_{x\in\mathcal{M}_m}f_{L,v}(x)\theta^{-1}(Tr(gx))dx=0$$

for all $g\in G_r$. Hence the Fourier transform of $f_{L,v}$ is zero on G_m, hence on $\mathcal{M}_m$ by smoothness of $f_{L,v}$ and density of G_m in $\mathcal{M}_m$. So the map $f_{L,v}$ must be zero, and

$f_{L,v}(I_r) = L(v) = 0$, and this for any $v \in \rho$. It follows from simple change of variable in (1) that S_L is Θ-invariant under S_r.

□

Corollary 2.1 (of the proof)**.** *Let ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r, then $Hom_{H_r}(\rho, \chi_\alpha)$ is of dimension at most* 1*.*

Proof. We saw that the map $L \mapsto S_L$ in the proof above is injective. Our claim now follows from the uniqueness of Shalika functionals for irreducible representations of G_r ([JR96], Proposition 6.1). □

Remark 2.1. It is not clear that Theorem 2.1 extends easily to discrete series as in [M14], for $\alpha \neq \mathbf{1}$. Indeed,the relative coefficients are not in $L^2(H_n\backslash G_n)$ as soon as α is not unitary (in fact if C is an (H_n, χ_α)-relative coefficient, with α not unitary, then $|C|^2$ is not a map on $H_n\backslash G_n$).

Before we study the case of discrete series, we also need to clear up a misunderstanding in Theorem 3.1 of [M14]. In the statement of this theorem, the integer n must be ≥ 2 (it is also tacitly assumed in its proof). Indeed, for $n = 1$, we have $H_1 = G_1$, all characters of G_1 are cuspidal, so a character μ of G_1 can by (H_1, χ)-distinguished, and this if and only if $\mu = \chi$.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that ρ is a cuspidal representation of G_r ($r \geq 1$), d is a positive integer, and set $n = dr$. Let*

$$\pi = \nu^{(1-d)/2}\rho \times \cdots \times \nu^{(d-1)/2}\rho.$$

Then $dim_{\mathbb{C}}(Hom_{H_n}(\pi, \chi_\alpha)) \leq 1$, and if d is odd, $dim(Hom_{H_n}(\pi, \chi_\alpha)) = 1$ implies that ρ is (H_r, χ_α)-distinguished (in particular r is even except if $r = 1$, in which case $\rho = \alpha$).

Proof. The reader would benefit from reading Section 3 of [M15] until the discussion before Theorem 3.14 of [ibid.] before reading this proof. We suppose that π is distinguished. In particular, as $Z_n \subset H_n$, the central character ω_ρ is of order d, and ρ is unitary. We set $M = M_{(r,\dots,r)}$ the standard Levi subgroup of $G = G_n$ such that $R = \nu^{(1-d)/2}\rho \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu^{(d-1)/2}\rho$ is a representation of M, and $P = P_{(r,\dots,r)}$ the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup of G. We also set $H = H_n$, and $\chi = \chi_\alpha$. A system of representatives $R(P\backslash G/H)$ of the double quotient $P\backslash G/H$ is determined in Section 3.1 of [M15]. To every s in $R(P\backslash G/H)$, a standard parabolic subgroup $P_s \subset P$ of G, and its standard Levi subgroup M_s is associated. We denote by H_{M_s} the intersection $M \cap sHs^{-1}$, and by χ_s the character $\chi(s^{-1}.s)$ of H_{M_s}. Then, in the discussion before Theorem 3.2 of [loc. cit.], for any (H, χ)-invariant linear L form on π, and any s in $R(P\backslash G/H)$, an (H_{M_s}, χ_s)-linear form L_s is defined on the normalised Jacquet module $r^M_{M_s}R$, with the property that if L_s is zero for every s in $R(P\backslash G/H)$, then L is zero. In particular, if π is (H, χ)-distinguished, and L is a nonzero linear form in $Hom_H(\pi, \chi)$, then L_s is nonzero for at least one s. As the representation R of M is cuspidal, this implies first that $M_s = M$. Thanks to Section 3.2 of [M15], it also implies that there are l disjoint couples $i_k < j_k$ ($k = 1, \dots, l$ with $2l \leq d$) in $\{1, \dots, d\}$, and natural integers n_i^- such n_i^+ with $r = n_i^- + n_i^+$ for each $i \in I = \{1, \dots, d\} - \cup_{k=1}^{l}\{i_k, j_l\}$, such that H_{M_s} is of the form

$$\{diag(g_1, \dots, g_d) \in M, g_{i_k} = g_{j_k} \ for\ k = 1, \dots, l, \ and\ g_i \in M_{(n_i^-, n_i^+)} \ for\ i \in I\}.$$

Moreover, thanks to Theorem 3.1 of [M14], when $r \geq 2$, for every $i \in I$, we must have $n_i^- = n_i^+$. As $R = \nu^{(1-d)/2}\rho \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu^{(d-1)/2}\rho$ is (H_{M_s}, χ_s)-distinguished, and as ρ is unitary, this implies that $l = d$ when l is even, and $i_k = k$ and $j_k = d + 1 - k$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, d/2\}$, and $l = d - 1$ when l is odd, $i_k = k$ and $j_k = d + 1 - k$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, (d-1)/2\}$. Moreover if l is odd and $r \geq 2$, then r is even and ρ is $(M_{(r/2,r/2)}, \mu_\alpha)$-distinguished (i.e. (H_r, χ_α)-distinguished), and when $r = 1$, then $\rho = \alpha$. In particular, in all cases, this implies that there is a unique $s \in R(P\backslash G/H)$ such that L_s is nonzero, thus the map $L \mapsto L_s$ is injective. When d is odd, then L_s then lives in a 1-dimensional space thanks to Corollary 2.1, whereas when d is even, the same assertion follows form Schur's Lemma. This proves the result. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let c be a positive integer, and r be a positive integer. Let ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r, and $\delta' = \delta(c, \rho)$. Then the representation $\tau = \nu^{-c/2}\delta' \times \nu^{c/2}\delta'$ satisfies $dim_{\mathbb{C}}(Hom_{H_n}(\tau, \chi_\alpha)) \leq 1$.*

Proof. It is obvious, because setting $d = 2c$, the representation τ is a quotient of $\pi = \nu^{(1-d)/2}\rho \times \cdots \times \nu^{(d-1)/2}\rho$. The result now follows from Proposition 2.2. □

Let $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$, π_i be an irreducible representation of G_{n_i} for every i, and let $\pi = \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_t$. According to Chapter 3 of [GJ72], there is $r_\pi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any coefficient f of π (i.e. a map of the form $g \in G_n \mapsto < v^\vee, \pi(g)v >$ for $v \in V$ and $v^\vee \in V^\vee$), and any $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{M}_n)$, the integral $Z(s, \Phi, f) = \int_{G_n} f(g)\Phi(g)\nu(g)^s dg$ converges absolutely for $Re(s) > r_\pi$. These zeta integrals in fact belong to $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$, and span a fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ containing 1. One denotes by $L(s, \pi)$ the unique Euler factor which is a generator of this ideal, it satisfies the relation $L(s, \pi) = \prod_{i=1}^t L(s, \pi_i)$. Notice that this notation is coherent with that of Section 1.3, as it is proved in Section 5 of [JPS83] that if π is irreducible, the Godement-Jacquet factor $L(s, \pi)$ and the Rankin-Selberg factor $L(s, \pi, \mathbf{1})$ are equal. We now recall the following result from [FJ93].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $2m = n = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$ be an even integer, and π_i be an irreducible representation of G_{n_i} for every i. If $\pi = \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_t$ is such that $Hom_{S_n}(\pi, \theta) \neq 0$. Take a nonzero element L of $Hom_{S_n}(\pi, \theta)$, and denote by $S_L(\pi, \Theta)$ the space of maps from G_n to $\mathbb{C}$ of the form $S_{L,v} : g \mapsto L(\pi(g)v)$ for $v \in V$. Then there is $r \in \mathbb{R}$, such that for any S in $S_L(\pi, \Theta)$ the integral*

$$I(s, \alpha, S) = \int_{G_m} S(diag(g, I_m))\alpha(det(g))\nu(g)^s dg$$

is absolutely convergent for $Re(s) > r$. Moreover, these integrals in fact belong to $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$, and span a fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ equal to $L(s + 1/2, \pi \otimes \alpha)\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$. In particular, the map

$$\Lambda_{\pi,L} : v \mapsto I(0, \alpha, S_{L,v})/L(1/2, \pi \otimes \alpha)$$

is a nonzero element of $Hom_{L_n}(\pi, \psi_\alpha)$.

Proof. The assumptions in [FJ93] are that π is unitary and irreducible, and the proof is given in the archimedean case. However, we adapted their arguments to the p-adic case in [M14], but the absolute convergence of $I(S, s, \alpha)$ was not shown in this reference, because we were dealing with linear forms invariant under $S_n \cap P_n$ rather than S_n, hence we couldn't use the asymptotic expansion of Shalika functions from [JR96]. We thus give a

proof for the case at hand, referring to [M14] and [JR96], where only minor modifications are needed.

Let S belong to $S_L(\pi, \Theta)$. First, an asymptotic expansion of the restriction of S to the torus A_n is given in [JR96], Theorem 6.1. Their proof assumes π irreducible, but all the arguments work for π of finite length (as the Jacquet modules of π are also of finite length). Hence, we get the absolute convergence of $I(s, \alpha, S)$, for $Re(s)$ larger than a certain real number r independent of S, as in [JR96] after Lemma 6.1. We can now write for $Re(s) > r$, the equality $I(s, \alpha, S) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k(\alpha, S) q^{-ks}$, where $c_k(\alpha, S) = \int_{|g|=q^{-k}} S(diag(g, I_m))\alpha(det(g))dg$. The statement now follows from Proposition 4.2 of [M14], which is for $\alpha = \mathbf{1}$, but valid for any α. □

We are now able to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n = dr > 0$ be an even integer, and ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r. The representation $\delta(d, \rho)$ is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished if and only if it is (S_n, Θ)-distinguished.*

Proof. First, we notice that if $\delta(d, \rho)$ is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished or (S_n, Θ)-distinguished, then its central character (which is a power of that of ρ) is trivial, so we assume that the ω_ρ is unitary so that $\delta(k, \rho)$ is unitary for any k. By Proposition 2.3, if $\delta(d, \rho)$ is (S_n, Θ)-distinguished, then it is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished. For the converse, there are two cases to consider, which are proved differently. We thus suppose that $\delta(d, \rho)$ is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished.

If d is odd (hence r is even), as $\delta(d, \rho)$ is a quotient of $\nu^{(1-d)/2}\rho \times \cdots \times \nu^{(d-1)/2}\rho$, then by Proposition 2.2, the representation ρ is (H_r, χ_α)-distinguished, hence it is (S_r, Θ)-distinguished according to Proposition 2.1. This in turn implies that $\delta(d, \rho)$ is (S_n, Θ)-distinguished according to Theorem 6.1. of [M14]. So this proves the theorem when d is odd.

If $d = 2c$ is even, we write $\delta' = \delta(c, \rho)$, hence $\tau = \nu^{-c/2}\delta' \times \nu^{c/2}\delta'$ is (S_n, Θ)-distinguished according to Proposition 3.8 of [M15], and we denote by L a nonzero Shalika functional on τ. It follows from Section 3 of [Z77], that τ is of length 2, with irreducible quotient $\delta = \delta(d, \rho)$, and a unique irreducible submodule that we denote by σ, which is the Langlands quotient of $\nu^{c/2}\delta' \times \nu^{-c/2}\delta'$. We suppose that the quotient δ is not (S_n, Θ)-distinguished, and we will obtain a contradiction. In this situation σ must be (S_n, Θ)-distinguished, as $L_{|\sigma}$ is nonzero. Now, according to Proposition 2.3, $\Lambda_{\tau,L}$ is a nonzero linear form on τ which is (H_n, χ_α)-invariant, and $\Lambda_{\sigma,L_{|\sigma}}$ is a nonzero linear form on σ which is (H_n, χ_α)-invariant. Finally, it is known that $L(s, \tau \otimes \alpha) = L(s, \sigma \otimes \alpha)$ ([J79], Theorem 3.4), hence the linear form $\Lambda_{\tau,L}$ extends $\Lambda_{\sigma,L_{|\sigma}}$, in particular $(\Lambda_{\tau,L})_{|\sigma} = \Lambda_{\sigma,L_{|\sigma}}$ is nonzero. But δ is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished, in particular there is a nonzero (H_n, χ_α)-linear form on δ, which can be seen as a nonzero (H_n, χ_α)-linear form on τ which vanishes on σ. This contradicts Proposition 2.2, and ends the proof. □

3 The local Bump-Friedberg L-function

For simplicity, from now on, we will assume that α is an unramified character of the form $|.|^t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$. This is the situation considered in [BF90]. We will denote by abuse of notation χ_t the character $\chi_{|.|^t}$ of H_n.

3.1 Definition

For $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, we set $m = \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$. Let π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type. Let W belong to $W(\pi, \theta)$, and Φ belong to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$, s and t be complex numbers, and for $h(h_1, h_2) \in H_n$, we denote by $l_n(h(h_1, h_2))$ the bottom row of h_2 when n is even, and the bottom row of h_1 when n is odd. We consider the following integrals, the convergence of which will be addressed just after

$$\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi) = \int_{N_n^\sigma \backslash H_n} W(h)\Phi(l_n(h))\chi_t(h)\chi_n(h)^{1/2}|h|^s dh$$

and

$$\Psi_{(0)}(s, t, W) = \int_{N_n^\sigma \backslash {P_n}^\sigma} W(h)\chi_t(h)\mu_n^{1/2}(h)|h|^{s-1/2} dh$$

$$= \int_{N_{n-1}^\sigma \backslash {G_{n-1}}^\sigma} W(h)\chi_t(h)\chi_{n-1}^{1/2}(h)|h|^{s-1/2} dh.$$

Remark 3.1. Notice the difference of notations with [M15]. In [ibid.], we denote by $\Psi(W, \Phi, \chi_{|.|^t}, s)$ the integral denoted by $\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi)$ here.

Proposition 3.1. *Let π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type. Let W belong to $W(\pi, \theta)$, Φ belong to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$, and $\epsilon_k = 0$ when k is even and 1 when k is odd. If for all k in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ (resp. in $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$), we have $Re(s) > -[Re(c_{k,i_k}) + \epsilon_k Re(t+1/2)]/k$, the integral $\Psi(s, t, W, \phi)$ (resp. $\Psi_{(0)}(s, t, W)$) converges absolutely. It admits meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ as elements of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s}, q^{-t})$.*

Proof. Let B_n^σ be the standard Borel subgroup of H_n, and $\delta_{B_n}^\sigma$ be its modulus character. The integral

$$\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi)$$

will converge absolutely as soon as the integrals

$$\int_{A_n} W(a)\phi(l_n(a))\chi_n^{1/2}(a)\chi_t(a)|a|^s \delta_{B_n^\sigma}^{-1}(a) d^*a$$

will do so for any $W \in W(\pi, \theta)$, and any $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$. But, according to Proposition 1.2, and writing z as $z_1 \dots z_n$, this will be the case if the integrals of the form

$$\int_{A_n} c_\pi(t(z_n))\Phi(l_n(z_n)) \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{k,i_k}(z_k)|z_k|^{(n-k)/2} v(t(z_k))^{m_k} \phi_k(t(z_k))(\chi_n^{1/2}\chi_t)(z)|z|^s \delta_{B_n^\sigma}^{-1}(z) d^*z$$

converge absolutely. But $\delta_{B_n^\sigma}^{-1}\chi_n^{1/2}(z_k) = |t(z_k)|^{-\frac{k(n-k)}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2}}$, and $\chi_t(z_k) = |t(z_k)|^{\epsilon_k t}$ where $\epsilon_k = 0$ if k is even, and 1 if k is odd, hence this will be the case if

$$\int_{F^*} c_\pi(x)\phi(0, \dots, 0, x)|x|^{ns}|x|^{\epsilon_n(t+1/2)} d^*x$$

and each integral

$$\int_{F^*} c_{k,i_k}(x)|x|^{\epsilon_k/2} v(x)^{m_k}\phi_k(x)|x|^{\epsilon_k t}|x|^{ks} d^*x = \int_{F^*} c_{k,i_k}(x)|x|^{ks}|x|^{\epsilon_k(t+1/2)} v(x)^{m_k}\phi_k(x) d^*x$$

converges absolutely. This will be the case if for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, one has

$$Re(s) + [Re(c_{k,i_k}) + \epsilon_k(Re(t + 1/2)]/k > 0.$$

Moreover, this also shows that $\Psi(s, t, W, \phi)$ extends to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ as an element of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s}, q^{-t})$, by Tate's theory of local factors for characters of F^*. The case of the integral $\Psi_{(0)}$ is similar. □

Corollary 3.1 (of the proof)**.** *There is an element $Q(q^{-s}, q^{-t})$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^{-s}, q^{-t}]$ with $Q(0, 0) = 1$, such that $Q(q^{-s}, q^{-t})\Psi(s, t, W, \phi) \in \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}, q^{\pm t}]$ for all $W \in W(\pi, \theta)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$.*

Proof. It follows from the theory of Tate L-factors, that we can choose Q to be a suitable power of the product of the Tate L-factors $L(c_{k,i_k}, ks + \epsilon_k(t + 1/2))$. □

For convenience, we set $L_t = \mathbb{C}(q^{-t})$ and $O_t = \mathbb{C}[q^{-t}]$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type. The L_t-vector space spanned by the integrals $\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi)$ when W and Φ vary in $W(\pi, \theta)$ and $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$ is a fractional ideal of $L_t[q^{\pm s}]$ of the form $L^{BF}(s, t, \pi)L_t[q^{\pm s}]$, where $L^{BF}(s, t, \pi)$ is an Euler factor $1/P^{BF}(q^{-s}, q^{-t}, \pi)$ with $P^{BF}(q^{-s}, q^{-t}, \pi) \in L_t[q^{-s}]$ and $P^{BF}(0, q^{-t}, \pi) = 1$, which is uniquely determined. In fact, the $P^{BF}(q^{-s}, q^{-t}, \pi)$ belongs to $O_t[q^{-s}] = \mathbb{C}[q^{-s}, q^{-t}]$, and for W in $W(\pi, \theta)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$, the integral $P^{BF}(q^{-s}, q^{-t}, \pi)\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi)$ belongs to $O_t[q^{\pm s}]$.*

Proof. For $h_0 \in H_n$, changing $\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi)$ by $\Psi(s, t, \rho(h_0)W, \rho(h_0)\Phi)$ (where ρ denotes right translation) multiplies $\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi)$ by $\chi_n(h_0)^{-1/2}\chi_t(h_0)^{-1}|h_0|^{-s}$. This implies that the integrals $\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi)$ span a fractional ideal of $L_t[q^{-s}]$. Moreover, it is shown in the proof of Proposition 4.11. of [M15], that one can chose W and Φ such that $\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi) = 1$ for all s and t. This implies the existence of $P^{BF}(q^{-s}, q^{-t}, \pi)$. Now, thanks to Corollary 3.1, $P^{BF}(q^{-s}, q^{-t}, \pi)$ divides the polynomial $Q \in O_t[q^{-s}]$ in the ring $L_t[q^{\pm s}]$. As both have constant term equal to 1, this first implies that P^{BF} divides Q in $L_t[q^{-s}]$, and as $O_t[q^{-s}]$ is a unique factorisation domain (because $O_t = \mathbb{C}[q^{-t}]$ is), this also implies that P^{BF} belongs to $O_t[q^{-s}]$. Finally, for all W and Φ we have $P^{BF}(q^{-s}, q^{-t})\Psi(s, t, W, \Phi) \in L_t[q^{\pm s}]$, so we can write it $\sum_{k=-N}^{N} a_k(q^{-t})q^{-ks}$ for some $N \geq 0$, with $a_k \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. According to the proof of Corollary 3.1, the integral $\Psi(s, t, \Phi, W)$ has no singularities of the form $\{s \in \mathbb{C}\} \times \{t = t_0\}$ (because the same holds for the Tate L-factors $L(c_{k,i_k}, ks + \epsilon_k(t + 1/2))$), in particular the a_k's belong to O_t. □

3.2 Results on a specialisation of the local Bump-Friedberg factor

This specialisation in the variable t (we fix t), which is an Euler factor with respect to q^{-s}, denoted $L^{lin}(s, t, \pi)$ is studied in [M15]. As we will see, it is not really defined as a specialisation, but rather as the gcd of a the family of specialised integrals, and for this reason, it is not obvious at all that $L^{lin}(s, t, \pi)$ is rational as a map of q^{-s} and q^{-t}. Hence, we will use results about this specialisation as an intermediate step, but they will not appear in our final statements. In fact, in general, we will see that except maybe for a finite number of values of t, the equality $L^{lin}(s, t, \pi) = L^{BF}(s, t, \pi)$ is true (the equality is probably true for all values of t, but we did not check it, however, it is true for discrete

series representations).

We start by recalling a special case of Proposition 4.11 of [M15].

Proposition 3.3. *Let n be a positive integer, and π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type. For fixed $t \in \mathbb{C}$, the integrals $\Psi(s,t,W,\phi)$ generate a (necessarily principal) fractional ideal $I(t,\pi)$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ when W and ϕ vary in their respective spaces, and $I(t,\pi)$ has a unique generator which is an Euler factor which we denote by $L^{lin}(s,t,\pi)$ (and denoted by $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_t,s)$ in [M15]).*
The integrals $\Psi_{(0)}(s,t,W)$ (which are equal to 1 be convention if $n=1$) generate a (necessarily principal) fractional ideal $I_{(0)}(t,\pi)$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^s,q^{-s}]$ when W and ϕ vary in their respective spaces, and $I_{(0)}(t,\pi)$ has a unique generator which is an Euler factor, which we denote by $L^{lin}(s,t,\pi)$ (and denoted by $L^{lin}_{(0)}(\pi,\chi_t,s)$ in [M15]).

For $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\pi) = L^{lin}(s,t,\pi)/L^{lin}_{(0)}(s,t,\pi)$$

(denoted $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\pi,\chi_t,s)$ in [M15]). The following property is a consequence of Proposition 4.9 and Corollary 4.2 of [M15].

Proposition 3.4. *Let n be a positive integer, and π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type. Fix $t \in \mathbb{C}$, then $L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\pi)$ is an Euler factor with simple poles.*

We also recall Theorem 5.2 of [M15].

Theorem 3.1. *Let n be a positive integer, and $\pi = L(\tau)$ be an irreducible representation of G_n, with $\tau = \delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_r$ induced of Langlands' type. Then, for $t \in [-1/2, 0]$, we have*

$$L^{lin}(s,t,\pi) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} L^{lin}(s,t,\delta_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} L(2s,\delta_i,\delta_j).$$

3.3 Equality with the Galois factor for discrete series

We recall a consequence of Proposition 4.14 of [M15] in the special case of discrete series. As a convention, when $n=0$, and π is the trivial representation of G_0, we set

$$L^{lin}(s,t,\pi) = 1.$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let r and d be positive integers (and set $n = dr$), and ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r. Then one has*

$$L^{lin}(s,t,\delta(d,\rho)) = L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\delta(d,\rho)) L^{lin}(s,t,\nu^{1/2}\delta(d-1,\rho)).$$

In order to compute the factor $L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\delta(d,\rho))$, we recall Corollary 4.3 of [M15].

Proposition 3.6. *Let n be a positive integer and $t \in \mathbb{C}$. If δ be a discrete series representation of G_n, then the factor $L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\delta)$ has a pole at $s=0$ if and only if δ is (H_n, χ_t^{-1})-distinguished.*

Now, we have the following consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer, and δ be a discrete series representation of G_n. Then the factor $L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\delta(d,\rho))$ is equal to $L^{lin,(0)}(s,0,\delta(d,\rho))$ for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Both are Euler factors with simple poles, hence it suffices to prove that they have the same poles. The result follows at once from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 3.6. □

We can now prove the following.

Theorem 3.2. *Let n be a positive integer and $t \in \mathbb{C}$, and δ be a discrete series representation of G_n. Then the factor $L^{lin}(s,t,\delta)$ is equal to $L(s+t+1/2,\phi_\delta)L(2s,\Lambda^2 \circ \phi_\delta)$.*

Proof. Write δ as $\delta(d,\rho)$, for $d \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ dividing n, and ρ a cuspidal representations of $G_r = G_{n/d}$. If $r \geq 2$, by Propositions 3.5 and 3.7, we obtain the equalities

$$L^{lin}(s,t,\delta(d,\rho)) = \prod_{k=0}^{d-1} L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\nu^{k/2}\delta(d-k,\rho))$$

$$= \prod_{k=0}^{d-1} L^{lin,(0)}(s,0,\nu^{k/2}\delta(d-k,\rho)) = L^{lin}(s,0,\delta(d,\rho)).$$

Now, by Theorem 5.4 of [M15], we have $L^{lin}(s,0,\delta) = L(s+1/2,\phi_\delta)L(2s,\Lambda^2 \circ \phi_\delta)$, but $L(s+1/2,\delta)$ is equal to 1 according to [GJ72], so we obtain the equality

$$L^{lin}(s,t,\delta) = L(s+t+1/2,\delta)L(2s,\Lambda^2 \circ \phi_\delta)$$

when $r \geq 2$.

When $r = 1$, by Proposition 3.5, we have

$$L^{lin}(s,t,\delta(d,\rho)) = \prod_{k=0}^{d-1} L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\nu^{k/2}\delta(d-k,\rho)).$$

The factor $L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\nu^{(d-1)/2}\delta(1,\rho))$ is equal to

$$L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\nu^{(d-1)/2}\rho) = L^{lin}(s,t,\nu^{(d-1)/2}\rho) = L(s+t+d/2,\rho),$$

so

$$(\star) \quad L^{lin}(s,t,\delta(d,\rho)) = L(s+t+d/2,\rho) \prod_{k=0}^{d-2} L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\delta(d-k,\rho)).$$

For $k \leq d-2$, the factor $L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\delta(d-k,\rho))$ do not depend on t by Proposition 3.7. As we know from Theorem 5.4 of [M15] that

$$L^{lin}(s,0,\delta) = L(s+1/2,\phi_\delta)L(2s,\Lambda^2 \circ \phi_\delta),$$

and as $L(s+1/2,\delta(d,\rho)) = L(s+d/2,\rho)$ we deduce, letting $t=0$ in Equality $(\star)$, that $L(2s,\Lambda^2 \circ \phi_\delta)$ must be equal to

$$\prod_{k=0}^{d-2} L^{lin,(0)}(s,t,\nu^{k/2}\delta(d-k,\rho)),$$

and this proves the result in this case. □

We will see in the next paragraph that this implies that $L^{BF}(s,t,\delta)$ is equal to $L(s+t+1/2,\phi_\delta)L(2s,\Lambda^2 \circ \phi_\delta)$ for any discrete series δ of G_n (and in fact for any irreducible representation).

3.4 Equality with the Galois factor for irreducible representations

We first notice a consequence of the results of the preceding section. If π is an irreducible representation of G_n, we denote by $L^{Gal}(s,t,\pi) = L(s+t+1/2,\phi_\pi)L(2s,\Lambda^2\circ\phi_\pi)$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G_n, then for $(s,t)\in\mathbb{C}\times[-1/2,0]$, we have*

$$L^{lin}(s,t,\pi) = L^{Gal}(s,t,\pi).$$

Proof. We saw in Section 1.3 that if $\pi = L(\delta_1\times\cdots\times\delta_r)$, then

$$L^{Gal}(s,t,\pi) = \prod_{k=1}^{r} L^{Gal}(s,t,\delta_i) \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq r} L(2s,\phi_{\delta_i}\otimes\phi_{\delta_j}).$$

The result now follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. □

We will use the following elementary fact about polynomials in two variables.

Lemma 3.1. *Let P be a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[X,Y]$, and suppose that it does not vanish on a set of the form $\mathbb{C}\times A$, where A is infinite, then P is of the form $P(X,Y)=P(0,Y)$.*

Proof. Write P as $P=\sum_{k=0}^{d} a_k(Y)X^k$, then for any $y\in A$, by the fundamental theorem of algebra, one has $P(X,y)=a_0(y)$. As A is infinite, the result follows. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G_n, for $n\geq 1$. Then the polynomial*

$$P^{BF}(s,t,\pi) = \frac{1}{L^{BF}(s,t,\pi)}$$

divides the polynomial

$$P^{Gal}(s,t,\pi) = \frac{1}{L(s+t+1/2,\phi_\pi)L(2s,\Lambda^2\circ\phi_\pi)}$$

in $\mathbb{C}[q^{-s},q^{-t}]$.

Proof. For any W in $W(\pi,\theta)$ and $\Phi\in\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$, the Laurent polynomial $R(s,t) = P^{Gal}(s,t,\pi)\Psi(s,t,W,\Phi)\in L_t[q^{\pm s}]$ has no singularities for (s,t) in $\mathbb{C}\times[-1/2,0]$, according to Proposition 3.8. We can always write R as a quotient U/V, with U and $V\in\mathbb{C}[q^{-s},q^{-t}]$, and co-prime. In particular, U and V have a finite number of zeroes in common (it follows for example from Bézout identities in $L_s[q^{-t}]$ and $L_t[q^{-s}]$). In particular, V does not vanish on a set of the form $\mathbb{C}\times A$, where A is infinite (take A the complementary set of the projection on the second coordinate of the set of common zeroes of U and V), and is thus of the form $V=V(q^{-t})$ according to Lemma 3.1. This implies that R belongs to $L_t[q^{-s}]$, and by definition of $P^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$, it in turn implies that $P^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$ divides $P^{Gal}(s,t,\pi)$ in $L_t[q^{-s}]$, hence in $O_t[q^{-s}]$ as they both have constant term equal to 1. □

Let π be an irreducible representation of G_n, for fixed t, we set

$$P^{lin}(s,t,\pi) = \frac{1}{L^{lin}(s,t,\pi)}.$$

Proposition 3.10. *For fixed t, $P^{lin}(s,t,\pi)$ divides $P^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$ in $\mathbb{C}[q^{-s}]$.*

Proof. Fix $t = t_0$. According to the last part of Proposition 3.2, for any W in $W(\pi,\theta)$ and any $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor})$ the element

$$P^{BF}(q^{-s}, q^{-t_0}, \pi)\Psi(s, t_0, W, \Phi)$$

belongs to $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$, and the proposition follows. □

We can now state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G_n, then one has the equality*

$$L^{Gal}(s,t,\pi) = L^{BF}(s,t,\pi).$$

Proof. For $(s,t) \in \mathbb{C} \times [-1/2, 0]$, we have $P^{Gal}(s,t,\pi) = P^{lin}(s,t,\pi)$ by Proposition 3.8. By Propositions 3.9 and 3.10, we know that for fixed t, $P^{lin}(s,t,\pi)$ divides $P^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$, and $P^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$ divides $P^{Gal}(s,t,\pi)$. In particular, for $(s,t) \in \mathbb{C} \times [-1/2, 0]$, $P^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$ must be equal to $P^{Gal}(s,t,\pi)$, so this equality is in fact true for all s and t. □

We can also prove the following almost everywhere equality (which should be true everywhere).

Proposition 3.11. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G_n. Then there is a finite (maybe empty) set A_π of $\mathbb{C}^*$, such that for $q^{-t} \in \mathbb{C}^* - A_\pi$, the two factors $L^{lin}(s,t,\pi)$ and $L^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$ are equal in $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$.*

Proof. By definition of $L^{BF}(s,t,\pi)$, there is a finite set I, Whittaker maps $W_i \in W(\pi,\theta)$, Schwartz functions $\Phi_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor})$, and rational maps $\lambda_i \in L_t$, such that

$$L^{BF}(s,t,\pi) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i(t)\Psi(s,t,W_i,\Phi_i).$$

Let A_π be the union of the possible poles of the λ_i's, it is a finite set, and for $q^{-t_0} \in \mathbb{C}^* - A_\pi$, we can specialise the equality and obtain that $L^{BF}(s,t_0,\pi)$ belongs to the $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$-submodule of L_s spanned by the integrals $\Psi(s,t,W,\Phi)$ for $W \in W(\pi,\theta)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor})$, hence $L^{BF}(s,t_0,\pi)$ divides $L^{lin}(s,t_0,\pi)$. This together with Proposition 3.10 implies that $L^{BF}(s,t_0,\pi) = L^{lin}(s,t_0,\pi)$, which is our claim. □

We can finally obtain the functional equation of the local Bump-Friedberg L-factor. For $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor})$, we denote by $\widehat{\Phi}^\theta$ the Fourier transform of Φ with respect to a θ-self-dual Haar measure of $F^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor}$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let π be an irreducible representation of G_n. Then there is a unit $\epsilon(s,t,\pi,\theta)$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}, q^{\pm t}]$, such that for all $W \in W(\pi,\theta)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor})$, one has*

$$\frac{\Psi(1-s, -1/2-t, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}^\theta)}{L^{BF}(1-s, -1/2-t, \pi^\vee)} = \frac{\epsilon(s,t,\pi,\theta)\Psi(s,t,W,\Phi)}{L^{BF}(s,t,\pi)}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. According to Proposition 4.11 of [M15] and Proposition 3.11, there is a finite (maybe empty) set A_π of $\mathbb{C}^*$, such that for $q^{-t} \in \mathbb{C}^* - A_\pi$, there is a unit $\epsilon_t(s,\pi,\theta)$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$ such that for all $W \in W(\pi,\theta)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor})$, one has

$$\frac{\Psi(1-s,-1/2-t,\tilde{W},\widehat{\Phi}^\theta)}{L^{BF}(1-s,-1/2-t,\pi^\vee)} = \frac{\epsilon_t(s,\pi,\theta)\Psi(s,t,W,\Phi)}{L^{BF}(s,t,\pi)}.$$

As both quotients

$$\frac{\Psi(1-s,-1/2-t,\tilde{W},\widehat{\Phi}^\theta)}{L^{BF}(1-s,-1/2-t,\pi^\vee)}$$

and

$$\frac{\Psi(s,t,W,\Phi)}{L^{BF}(s,t,\pi)}$$

belong to $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}, q^{\pm t}]$, the map $\epsilon_t(s,\pi,\theta)$ extends to an element $\epsilon(s,t,\pi,\theta)$ in $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s},q^{-t})$ such that Equation (2) is satisfied. It remains to show that $\epsilon(s,t,\pi,\theta)$ is a unit of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}, q^{\pm t}]$. For $q^{-t} \notin A_\pi$, there is $\alpha_t \in \mathbb{C}^*$, and $m_t \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\epsilon(s,t,\pi,\theta) = \alpha_t q^{m_t s}$, and this implies that α_t extends to an element $\alpha(t)$ of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-t})$ and that m_t does not depend on t. Suppose that $\alpha(t_0) = \infty$, this would imply that $\Psi(s,t_0,W,\Phi)/L^{BF}(s,t_0,\pi)$ is equal to 0 for all $W \in W(\pi,\theta)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor})$, but as we can choose them such that $\Psi(s,t_0,W,\Phi) = 1$, this would imply that $P^{BF}(s,t_0,\pi) = 0$. This would in turn imply, according to Proposition 3.9, that $P^{Gal}(s,t_0,\pi) = 0$, which is absurd. Hence $\alpha(t)$ has no pole, and we prove in the exact same manner that it has no zeroes. This implies that $\alpha(t)$ is of the form αq^{-lt} for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we are done. □

References

[BZ77] J. N. Bernstein A.V. Zelevinsky, 'Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups', *Ann. Sc. E.N.S.* 10, no. 4 (1977) 441–472.

[BF90] D. Bump S. Friedberg, 'The exterior square automorphic L-functions on GL(n)', *Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 3, Weizmann, Jerusalem,* (1990) 47–65.

[BH06] C.J. Bushnell G. Henniart, *: The local Langlands conjecture for* GL(2) (Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 335. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006).

[D10] P. Delorme, 'Constant term of smooth H_ψ-spherical functions on a reductive p-adic group', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362, no. 2 (2010) 933-955.

[FJ93] S. Friedberg H. Jacquet, 'Linear periods', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 443, (1993) 91–139.

[GJ72] R. Godement H. Jacquet, *: Zeta functions of simple algebras* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 260. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972).

[HT01] M. Harris R. Taylor, with an appendix by V.G. Berkovich, *: The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*(Annals of Mathematics Studies 151, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001).

[H00] G. Henniart, 'Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour GL(n) sur un corps p-adique', *Invent. Math.* 139, no. 2 (2000) 439–455.

[J79] H. Jacquet, *: Principal L-functions of the linear group*(Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions, Part 2, pp. 63-86, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979).

[JR96] H. Jacquet S. Rallis, 'Uniqueness of linear periods', *Compos. Math.* 102, no. 1 (1996) 65–123.

[JPS83] H. Jacquet, I.I. Piatetskii-Shapiro J.A. Shalika, 'Rankin-Selberg Convolutions', *Amer. J. Math.* 105, (1983) 367–464.

[JS83] H. Jacquet J.A. Shalika, 'The Whittaker models of induced representations', *Pacific J. Math.* 109, no. 1 (1983) 107–120.

[KT08] S-I. Kato K. Takano, 'Subrepresentation theorem for p-adic symmetric spaces', *Int. Math. Res. Not.* no. 11 (2008) 40 pp.

[M11] N. Matringe, 'Derivatives and asymptotics of Whittaker functions', *Represent. Theory* 15, (2011) 646–669.

[M14] N. Matringe, 'Linear and Shalika local periods for the mirabolic group, and some consequences', *J. Number Theory* 138, (2014) 1–19.

[M15] N. Matringe, 'On the local Bump-Friedberg L-function', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2013-0083

[R73] F. Rodier, 'Whittaker models for admissible representations of reductive p-adic split groups', (Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces, 425–430. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973).

[S78] A.J. Silberger, 'The Langlands quotient theorem for p-adic groups', *Math. Ann.* 236, no. 2 (1978) 95–104.

[Z77] A.V. Zelevinsky, 'induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II', *Ann. Sc. E.N.S.* 13, no. 2 (1977) 165–210.